

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/586,888	07/20/2006	Gerhard Doering	GK-ZEI-3307/500343.20328	2002
26418 7590 10/16/2008 REED SMITH, LLP			EXAMINER	
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT			GREECE, JAMES R	
599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
THE TOTAL	111 10022 1000		2873	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Abandonment Application No. Applicant(s) 10/586,888 DOERING ET AL. Examiner Art Unit JAMES R. GREECE 2873

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

This application is abandoned in view of:	
<ul> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 24 March 2008.</li> <li>A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> </ul>	
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final reject	ion
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).	
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three mont from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ol>	ths
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission de ), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notic Allowance (PTOL-85)	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.	
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).	
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.	
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.	
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.</li> </ol>	of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court rev of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.</li> </ol>	iev
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:	
See Continuation sheet below	
/Ricky L. Mack/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2873	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to	0

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

US. Petert and Tree-mark Office.

Examiner contacted applicant's attorney because the office had not received a reply to office action dated 3/24/2008 and the statutory period had elapsed. The applicant's representative through his representative Michelle Roopram informed the examiner that no reply was filled with the office and that the application was therefore effectively abandoned. Further on 10/9/2008 the applicant's council Eugene Ladonne confirmed this through a second phone conversation with the examiner.